Tamoxifen inhibits the proliferation of non-melanoma skin cancer cells by increasing intracellular calcium concentration
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Abstract. Tamoxifen is an estrogen receptor (ER) antagonist used as first-line chemotherapy in breast cancer. Recent studies suggest that tamoxifen may be effective not only for ER-positive but also for ER-negative cancer cases. The aim of the present study was to investigate the antiproliferative effect of tamoxifen against human non-melanoma skin cancer cells. Tamoxifen inhibited the proliferation of the skin squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) cell lines A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1. A431 cells did not express ER-α or -β, suggesting that tamoxifen may exert antiproliferative effects on skin SCC cells via a non-ER-mediated pathway. Tamoxifen increased the intracellular calcium concentration of skin SCC cells, and this increase in intracellular calcium concentration by calcium ionophore A23187 suppressed the proliferation of skin SCC cells. These data indicate that tamoxifen inhibited the proliferation of human skin SCC cells via increasing intracellular calcium concentration. Voltage-gated calcium channels and non-selective cation channels are involved in the increase in intracellular calcium concentration induced by tamoxifen. The broad-spectrum protein kinase C (PKC) inhibitor phloretin significantly attenuated the antiproliferative effect of tamoxifen on skin SCC cells. From these data, it may be concluded that tamoxifen inhibits the proliferation of skin SCC cells by induction of extracellular calcium influx via calcium channels in the plasma membrane and by subsequent activation of PKC.

Introduction

Tamoxifen is an estrogen receptor (ER) antagonist used in the treatment of ER-positive breast cancer (1,2). It is composed of triphenylethylene with an alkylamine side chain, and cytochrome P450 converts tamoxifen to its active form, 4-hydroxytamoxifen (3), which strongly binds to ER-α to antagonize the action of estrogen and exert antitumor effects (4).

The clinical effectiveness of tamoxifen treatment in ER-positive breast cancer patients is well-established (5,6), while some clinical studies demonstrated that tamoxifen administration may also be effective in ER-negative cancer patients (7). The rates of tamoxifen responders with complete/partial response or stable disease among patients with ER-positive and -negative advanced breast cancer were 62 and 27%, respectively (7). Tamoxifen was also found to be effective for patients with pancreatic cancer (8,9) or recurrent malignant glioma (10). A number of preclinical studies indicated that tamoxifen exerted antitumor effects on ER-negative cancer cells. Tamoxifen inhibited cholangiocarcinoma growth accompanied by suppression of Akt phosphorylation in a mouse xenograft model, and induced apoptosis via activating caspase-3, -8 and -9 in vitro (11). Tamoxifen also effectively inhibited invasion and growth of follicular thyroid cancer cells in vivo and in vitro (12). The combination of tamoxifen and epigallocatechin gallate exerted synergic antiproliferative effects against ER-negative breast cancer xenografts, and inhibited the expression of epidermal growth factor receptor, Akt, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)/extracellular signal-regulated kinase kinase (MEK) and nuclear factor (NF)-κB in the xenografts (13). Tamoxifen administration with cell division cycle 42 (Cdc42) inhibitor ML141 treatment suppressed ER-negative breast cancer growth in vivo (14). In vitro studies demonstrated that tamoxifen decreased glioma (15), leukemia (16), hepatocellular carcinoma (17) and prostate cancer (18) cell viability.

The abovementioned evidence indicates that tamoxifen is effective against not only ER-positive but also ER-negative...
cancer cells, although the mechanisms underlying the anti-tumor effect of tamoxifen against ER-positive and -negative cancer cells are quite different. Although tamoxifen is expected to be effective against various ER-negative cancers, its effect on non-melanoma skin cancer cells, such as squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) cells, remains unknown.

The aim of the present study was to elucidate the antiproliferative effect of tamoxifen on human skin SCC cells and investigate the involvement of intracellular calcium levels in this effect.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials.** Tamoxifen, verapamil, nifedipine, MEK inhibitor PD98059 and calcium ionophore A23187 were purchased from Cayman Chemical, Co. (Ann Arbor, MI, USA). Phloretin was purchased from Calbiochem, Inc. (San Diego, CA, USA). Cell Counting Kit-8 and Fluo 4-AM was purchased from Dojindo Laboratories. (Kumamoto, Japan). Flufenamic acid was purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Dallas, TX, USA).

**Cell culture.** The human skin SCC cells A431 and DJM-1, and human acute T-cell leukemia Jurkat cells were obtained from RIKEN BioResource Center (Tsukuba, Japan). Human skin SCC HSC-1 cells, human mammary carcinoma MCF-7 cells and human endometrial adenocarcinoma SN-2 cells were obtained from the Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources Cell Bank (Osaka, Japan). A431 and HSC-1 cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium. Jurkat and MCF-7 cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium. DJM-1 and SN-2 cells were cultured with Eagle's minimal essential medium and Ham's F12 medium, respectively. All media were supplemented with antibiotics-antimycotics, gentamicin (10 µg/ml, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) and 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum. The cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 95% air and 5% CO₂.

**Cell proliferation assay.** A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were seeded in 96-well plates (1,000 cells/well) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The cells were then incubated with tamoxifen (2.5-50 µM) for 48 h, and the cell numbers were calculated using the WST-8 reagent (Cell Counting Kit-8, Dojindo Laboratories).

**Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analysis.** Total RNA was extracted from A431, DJM-1, HSC-1, MCF-7, Jurkat and SN-2 cells with the RNasy Mini kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). The Superscript III First-Strand Synthesis System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was then applied. Up to the synthesis of cDNAs, all experiments were conducted under RNase-free conditions. First-strand cDNAs were then used as templates in PCR. The oligonucleotide primers synthesized were 5'-CAGAGAGATGA CTTGGAAGG-3' and 5'-GACTTCAAGGTTGCTGGATAG-3' for human ER-α; and 5'-TCTACAGTCTCTGTGTAGT-3' and 5'-GTGTACCTGCTGCCTAGA-3' for human ER-β. The experimental conditions for PCR were as follows: 94°C for 5 min (1 cycle), 94°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 1 min (35 cycles), 72°C for 7 min (1 cycle) for human ER-α; and 94°C for 5 min (1 cycle), 94°C for 30 sec, 60°C for 30 sec, 72°C for 1 min (35 cycles), 72°C for 7 min (1 cycle) for human ER-β. The PCR products were electrophoresed on 1.5% agarose gel and stained with GelRed (Biotium, Inc., Fremont, CA, USA).

**Measurement of intracellular calcium concentration.** A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were seeded in 96-well plates (40,000 cells/well) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The cells were then incubated with Fluo 4-AM (5 µM) for 1 h at 37°C. After residual Fluo 4 removal, tamoxifen (10-50 µM) was added to the cells, and the fluorescence emission was measured at 530 nm in response to excitation at 485 nm (λex) by a fluorescence plate reader (CytoFluor Multi-Well Plate Reader Series 4000; PerSeptive Biosystems, Framingham, MA, USA). Intracellular calcium concentration was calculated using the equation of Grynkiewicz et al (19), where $K_d = 345$ nM.

**Flow cytometry.** A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were seeded in 6-well plates (1.2x10⁶ cells/well) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The cells were then incubated with Fluo 4-AM (5 µM) for 1 h at 37°C. After residual Fluo 4 removal, tamoxifen (100 µM) was added to the cells, and fluorescence was measured by FACSCanto II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA).

**Western blot analysis.** A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were seeded in 60-mm dishes (3x10⁶ cells/dish) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The cells were then incubated with tamoxifen (20 or 30 µM) for 0, 10, 20, 30 or 60 min. After extensive washing with phosphate-buffered saline, the cells were lysed with cell lysis buffer (0.01 M phosphate, 0.15 M NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Nonidet P-40, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate and 0.1% sodium deoxycholate). The protein concentration of the cell lysates was measured with the Protein Assay Bicinchoninic Acid Kit (Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Kyoto, Japan).

Cell lysates (90 µg) were electrophoresed on a polyacrylamide gel under non-reducing conditions, and resolved proteins were electrophoretically transferred to a PVDF membrane. The membrane was incubated for 1 h in a blocking solution (5% skimmed milk in TBS containing 0.05% Tween-20) and probed with anti-human phospho-protein kinase C (PKC) (pan) rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:2,000 diluted in TBS containing 1% skimmed milk and 0.05% Tween-20; Cell Signaling Technology, Inc., Danvers, MA, USA), anti-human PKCα rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:2,000, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-human PKCδ rabbit monoclonal antibody (D10E2, 1:2,000, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-human-phospho-p44/42 MAPK rabbit monoclonal antibody (D13.14.4E, 1:2,000, Cell Signaling Technology), and anti-human glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) rabbit monoclonal antibody (1:4,000 dilution; Cell Signaling Technology) overnight at 4°C. After extensive washing with TBS containing 0.05% Tween-20, the membrane was further incubated with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG antibody (1:4,000 dilution; Cell Signaling Technology), and the bands of antigens were detected by chemiluminescence of the
product of peroxidase reaction using Luminata Forte Western HRP Substrate (EMD Millipore Corp., Darmstadt, Germany).

Data analysis. All data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation. The significance of the difference between two groups was analyzed by Student’s t-tests and among more than three groups by one-way and two-way analysis of variance followed by multiple comparison tests, Dunnett’s test or Tukey’s test. A P-value of <0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. Data analysis was performed using JMP 9 software (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

Results

Tamoxifen exerts antiproliferative effects on human skin SCC cells. Tamoxifen is known to inhibit cell proliferation in several types of cancer, such as breast cancer (20). In order to evaluate the antiproliferative effect of tamoxifen on human skin SCC cells, A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were incubated with tamoxifen, and the cell numbers were then estimated by the WST assay (Fig. 1). Tamoxifen inhibited the proliferation of skin SCC cells dose-dependently at concentrations of 2.5-50 µM. The GI50 (50% growth inhibition) values of tamoxifen for A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were 17.6, 19.4 and 15.6 µM, respectively.

Tamoxifen has been shown to antagonize ER function, which is a well-known mechanism mediating the antiproliferative effects of tamoxifen against ER-positive cancer cells. Thus, the expression of ERs in skin SCC cells was investigated by RT-PCR (Fig. 2). A431 cells did not express either ER-α or -β, DJM-1 cells expressed both ER-α and -β, whereas HSC-1 cells slightly expressed ER-α and -β. It has been reported that tamoxifen may inhibit the proliferation of both ER-positive and -negative cells (21). These results indicate that tamoxifen may exert its antiproliferative effect on certain types of skin SCC cells via a non-ER-mediated pathway.

Tamoxifen increases intracellular calcium concentration in skin SCC cells. It was reported that tamoxifen-induced apoptosis is mediated by intracellular calcium signals in human hepatoblastoma HepG2 cells (17). To elucidate the mechanism underlying the tamoxifen-induced antiproliferative effect on skin SCC cells, the changes in intracellular calcium concentration were investigated. As shown in Fig. 3A, tamoxifen markedly increased intracellular calcium concentration in A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells in a dose-dependent manner. The increase in intracellular calcium levels in A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells induced by tamoxifen was also evaluated by flow cytometry (Fig. 3B). The data suggest that tamoxifen induces calcium influx in skin SCC cells.

Increase in intracellular calcium concentration suppresses the proliferation of skin SCC cells. In order to confirm the

Figure 1. Antiproliferative effects of tamoxifen on skin SCC cells. A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were incubated with tamoxifen for 48 h, and the cell numbers were calculated by the WST assay. The number of cells in the control group (tamoxifen 0 µM) was set as 100% and the cell counts for all treatment groups are expressed as a percentage against the control. *P<0.01 (vs. tamoxifen 0 µM, Dunnett’s test). SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.

Figure 2. Expression of ER-α and -β in skin SCC cells. The expression of ER-α and -β in A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells was evaluated by RT-PCR. The data shown are from one experiment representative of at least three separate experiments. n.c., negative control (Jurkat for ER-α, SNG-II for ER-β); T, target cell (A431, DJM-1 or HSC-1); p.c., positive control (MCF-7). ER, estrogen receptor; SCC, squamous cell carcinoma; RT-PCR, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction.
association between the increase in intracellular calcium concentration and growth inhibition of skin SCC cells. A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were incubated with calcium ionophore A23187 at various concentrations (0.5-5 µM), and the cell numbers were then calculated (Fig. 4A). A23187 significantly inhibited the growth of skin SCC cells, indicating that the increase in intracellular calcium concentration caused growth inhibition of skin SCC cells. It was confirmed that A23187 (0.5-5 µM) increased the intracellular calcium levels in A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells (Fig. 4B). Following addition of A23187 at >2 µM for A431 cells and >1 µM for HSC-1 cells, intracellular calcium concentration could not be measured, due to the excess fluorescence over the detection limits. These results indicate that tamoxifen inhibited the proliferation of human skin SCC cells via increasing intracellular calcium concentration.

Voltage-gated calcium channels as well as non-selective cation channels are involved in the increase in intracellular calcium concentration in skin SCC cells induced by tamoxifen. Two major pathways are involved in the increase in intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration: Release from endoplasmic reticulum calcium stores to the cytosol, and extracellular calcium influx via plasma membrane calcium channels (22). To elucidate which pathway is implicated in the increase in intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration in skin SCC cells induced by tamoxifen, it was first investigated whether tamoxifen induced calcium release from intracellular stores. A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were incubated with Fluo 4-AM in HEPES buffer solution without calcium, followed by the addition of tamoxifen. The fluorescence derived from Fluo 4 was monitored and intracellular calcium concentration was calculated. As shown in Fig. 5, addition of tamoxifen did not increase the intracellular calcium concentration in skin SCC cells when using calcium-depleted buffer solution. However, thapsigargin (23), which discharged intracellular calcium stores by potently inhibiting endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase, increased the intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration in skin SCC cells. Addition of thapsigargin increased the intracellular calcium concentration in A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells up to 231.8±57.8, 299.2±124.9 and 438.1±252.4 µM, respectively, whereas the
intracellular calcium concentrations in A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells without thapsigargin were 116.0±73.9, 95.2±24.4 and 149.3±157.3 µM, respectively. These data suggest that the tamoxifen-induced increase in intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration was not due to calcium release from the endoplasmic reticulum stores.

It was next investigated whether extracellular calcium influx via plasma membrane calcium channels was involved in the increase in intracellular calcium concentration in skin SCCs induced by tamoxifen. The tamoxifen-induced increase in intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration was significantly inhibited by nifedipine, a voltage-gated calcium channel inhibitor, and flufenamic acid, a non-selective cation channel blocker (Fig. 6A). Verapamil, another voltage-gated calcium channel inhibitor, significantly inhibited the increase in intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration in DJM-1 cells. From these data, both voltage-gated calcium channels and non-selective cation channels appear to be involved in the increase in intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration in skin SCC cells induced by tamoxifen. As shown in Fig. 6B, blockage of calcium influx by voltage-gated calcium channel and non-selective cation channel inhibitors attenuated the tamoxifen-induced toxicity on skin SCC cells, indicating that tamoxifen-induced cytotoxicity is mediated by calcium influx.

PKC is involved in the antiproliferative effect of tamoxifen on skin SCC cells. The results in Fig. 3 indicate that tamoxifen increased the intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration of skin SCC cells. Calcium is a well-known second messenger that is involved in a number of intracellular signal transduction pathways, such as the PKC pathway (24). In order to confirm the involvement of PKC in tamoxifen-induced growth inhibition of
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Figure 6. Inhibitory effects of calcium channel blockers on tamoxifen-induced calcium influx in skin SCC cells. (A) A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were pre-incubated with verapamil (100 µM, Vera), nifedipine (100 µM, Nife), flufenamic acid (100 µM, Flu) or DMSO (Cont) for 1 h, and then incubated with Fluo 4-AM (5 µM) for 1 h. Calcium influx derived from the addition of 50 µM tamoxifen (Tam) was monitored, and the relative intracellular calcium concentration was calculated. *P<0.05, **P<0.01 (vs. Cont, Dunnett’s test). (B) A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were pre-incubated with 100 µM Vera, 100 µM Nife, 100 µM Flu or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for 1 h, and then incubated with Tam (20 µM for A431 and DJM-1 cells, 25 µM for HSC-1 cells) for 48 h, and the cell numbers were calculated by the WST assay. The number of cells in the control group (DMSO) was set as 100% and the cell counts for all treatment groups are expressed as a percentage against the control. ††P<0.01 (vs. DMSO), *P<0.05, **P<0.01 (vs. Tam, Tukey’s test). SCC, squamous cell carcinoma.

Discussion

One of the major functions of tamoxifen is to inhibit the proliferation of cancer cells by antagonizing ER-induced signaling pathways. The effectiveness of tamoxifen against several types of ER-negative cancer cells (e.g., glioma and hepatocellular carcinoma) is also widely reported (26); however, the effect of tamoxifen on non-melanoma skin cancer remained unknown. In the present study, it was demonstrated that tamoxifen inhibited the proliferation of human skin SCC cells via a non-ER-mediated pathway. Tamoxifen increased the intracellular calcium concentration in skin SCC cells by influx of extracellular calcium through voltage-gated calcium channels and non-selective cation channels, resulting in the activation of PKC.

Tamoxifen inhibited the proliferation of A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells dose-dependently at concentrations of 2.5-50 µM (Fig. 1). The GI_{50} values for A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were 17.6, 19.4 and 15.6 µM, respectively. ER-positive cancer cells are usually more sensitive to tamoxifen compared with ER-negative cells. For example, the GI_{50} values for the ER-positive breast cancer cell lines MCF-7 and T47D were reported to be <3 µM, whereas the GI_{50} values for the ER-negative breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231 and...
HCC1937 was >3 µM (27). Guo et al (4) reported that the mean value of GI$_{50}$ of tamoxifen over all 39 cancer cell lines (MG-MID), including both ER-positive and -negative cell lines, was 7.41 µM. Although the GI$_{50}$ values of tamoxifen for A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells were higher compared with the MG-MID of tamoxifen, the antiproliferative effect of tamoxifen on skin SCC cells was notable. A431 cells do not express either ER-α or -β (Fig. 2), suggesting that the growth inhibitory effects of tamoxifen on skin SCC cells were mediated via a non-ER pathway.

In order to elucidate the mechanism underlying the antiproliferative effects of tamoxifen on skin SCC cells, the change in intracellular calcium concentration during tamoxifen treatment was investigated. As shown in Fig. 3A, tamoxifen significantly increased intracellular calcium concentration in A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells, thereby inhibiting the growth of skin SCC cells (Fig. 4A). Thus, it was strongly suggested that the tamoxifen-induced growth inhibition of skin SCC cells was caused by an increase in the intracellular calcium concentration.
Tamoxifen at a dose of 20 µM reduced the viability of skin SCC cells to 50-70% (Fig. 1), although the same concentration of tamoxifen did not cause a marked elevation in intracellular calcium concentration (Fig. 3A). This discrepancy may be explained by the differences in the incubation times of skin SCC cells with tamoxifen in these assays. To evaluate the effect of tamoxifen on cell viability, skin SCC cells were incubated with tamoxifen for 48 h. However, intracellular calcium concentration was measured immediately following the addition of tamoxifen to skin SCC cells, as mentioned in ‘Materials and methods’. These differences in incubation times of skin SCC cells with tamoxifen in each assay were the cause of the variations in the effective tamoxifen concentration. Although the addition of 20 µM tamoxifen did not immediately induce a marked increase in intracellular calcium concentration, it may have induced a moderate calcium influx, resulting in SCC cell death.

Additionally, these differences in incubation time of skin SCC cells in each assay exclude the possibility of calcium influx through a weakened cell membrane by tamoxifen. As mentioned above, the intracellular calcium concentration was measured immediately following the addition of tamoxifen to skin SCC cells. Thus, it is strongly suggested that the plasma membrane of skin SCC cells was intact when intracellular calcium concentration was measured. Therefore, calcium influx may not be triggered in dying cells when the plasma membrane is likely not intact.

To identify the mechanism underlying the tamoxifen-induced increase of cytosolic calcium concentration in skin SCC cells, it was investigated whether tamoxifen induced calcium release from the endoplasmic reticulum stores. It has been reported that thapsigargin discharged intracellular calcium stores by potently inhibiting endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase, followed by an increase of the intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration (23). Although thapsigargin increased intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration in skin SCC cells, tamoxifen did not (Fig. 5), indicating that tamoxifen did not induce the efflux of calcium from endoplasmic reticulum stores to the cytosol in skin SCC cells.

Extrinsic stimuli may cause extracellular calcium influx into the cell via calcium-permeable channels, such as voltage-gated calcium channels and non-selective cation channels. The effects of voltage-gated calcium channel inhibitors, such as verapamil and nifedipine, and the non-selective cation channel blocker, flufenamic acid, on tamoxifen-induced calcium influx in skin SCC cells were examined in the present study. Nifedipine and flufenamic acid significantly inhibited tamoxifen-induced calcium influx in A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells (Fig. 6A), indicating that both voltage-gated calcium channels and non-selective cation channels were involved in tamoxifen-induced calcium influx. In our experiments, tamoxifen immediately increased intracellular calcium concentration in skin SCC cells (Fig. 3). Thus, tamoxifen may not act by increasing the expression level of calcium channels on the plasma membrane. These findings suggest that tamoxifen modulates the activity of voltage-gated calcium channels and non-selective cation channels to induce extracellular calcium influx. Kim et al (17) reported that tamoxifen-induced calcium influx in ER-negative human hepatoblastoma HepG2 cells was significantly inhibited by flufenamic acid, although verapamil or nifedipine did not affect this tamoxifen-induced calcium influx. This observation together with our data suggest that the mechanism of tamoxifen-induced calcium influx into the cytosol in ER-negative cancer cells may vary among different cancer types. Zhang et al reported that tamoxifen enhanced and prolonged ATP-induced intracellular calcium elevation in both ER-positive MCF-7 breast cancer cells and ER-negative C6 glioma cells (28). It was also reported that tamoxifen increased intracellular calcium concentration in MG-63 osteosarcoma cells (29), which express both ER-α and -β (30). Although an increase of tamoxifen-induced intracellular calcium concentration may be accompanied by cytotoxicity (31), the effect of ER on tamoxifen-induced intracellular calcium increase leading to cell death and the detailed mechanism underlying tamoxifen-induced calcium influx will be investigated in future studies.

Verapamil significantly inhibited the increase in intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration of DJM-1 cells, and tended to inhibit the increase in intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration in A431 cells (Fig. 6A). However, verapamil did not inhibit the increase in intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration in HSC-1 cells, although nifedipine almost completely inhibited the increase in intracellular cytosolic calcium concentration in this cell line. It is reported that the inhibitory mechanisms of action of verapamil and nifedipine on voltage-gated calcium channels are different (32). Phenyalkylamines (e.g., verapamil) bind to the V-binding site in L-type calcium channels, while dihydropyridines (e.g., nifedipine) bind to the N-binding site. Administration of these two types of calcium channel inhibitors results in different antihypertensive effects in patients with essential hypertension and in normal subjects (33). Although the details are unknown, the mechanism of voltage-gated calcium channel activation by tamoxifen may differ in skin SCC cells.

Tamoxifen-induced growth inhibition of skin SCC cells was significantly attenuated by the addition of the broad-spectrum PKC inhibitor phloretin (Fig. 7A). This observation suggests that PKC may be involved in the calcium-mediated growth-inhibitory signaling in skin SCC cells induced by tamoxifen. The phosphorylation of PKCα increases during tamoxifen-induced apoptosis in ER-negative human breast cancer MCF-7/ADR cells (21), and our data suggested that some isoforms of PKC in skin SCC cells were phosphorylated during incubation with tamoxifen (Fig. 7B). The role of the PKC isoform involved in tamoxifen-induced growth inhibition of skin SCC cells remains to be elucidated.

There was a tendency for the MEK inhibitor PD98059 to attenuate tamoxifen-induced growth inhibition of skin SCC cells (Fig. 7A), suggesting that the MAPK pathway was also involved in calcium-mediated growth-inhibitory signaling in skin SCC cells induced by tamoxifen. It is well-known that intracellular calcium affects the MAPK pathway via small GTPases Ras activation (34). Tamoxifen increased the phosphorylation of ERK1/2, downstream kinases of MEK, in A431, DJM-1 and HSC-1 cells (Fig. 7B). Xie et al (21) reported that tamoxifen induced ERK1/2 phosphorylation in both ER-positive and -negative breast cancer cells. Therefore, the MEK/ERK pathway may be involved in the tamoxifen-induced growth inhibition of cancer cells, although its contribution is limited.

Our data indicate that tamoxifen activates the PKC and MAPK pathways during growth inhibition in skin SCC cells. However, the downstream effect of PKC and MAPK activation
on tamoxifen-induced growth inhibition of skin SCC cells remains unclear. It has been reported that PKC and MAPK activation affect the expression and trafficking of tumor necrosis factor (TGF-β), which inhibits cancer cell growth. TGF-β is known to inhibit the growth of A431 cells (35), and PKC-ε and -ζ are involved in the trafficking of TGF-β (36). F38 MAPK, ERK and JNK are involved in the expression of TGF-β in fibroblasts during apoptosis (37). It was also reported that tamoxifen induces the expression of TGF-β in ER-positive and -negative breast cancer cells (38). In addition, it was observed that tamoxifen increased the transcription level of TGF-β in HSC-1 cells (data not shown). Taken together, these findings indicate that tamoxifen may induce TGF-β expression by activating the PKC and MAPK pathways through an increase in intracellular calcium concentration, resulting in growth inhibition of skin SCC cells.

In conclusion, the data of the present study demonstrated that tamoxifen inhibits the proliferation of skin SCC cells. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report to elucidate the antiproliferative effect of tamoxifen on skin SCC cells. Tamoxifen induces extracellular calcium influx into the cytoplasm via voltage-gated calcium channels and non-selective cation channels, and then activates the PKC and MEK/ERK pathways. These data suggest that the increase in intracellular calcium concentration is key to the antiproliferative effects of tamoxifen on ER-negative cancer cells.
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